



TREŠAIS TĒVA DĒLS

public libraries for progress



Libraries for Innovation

# OUTCOMES BASED MEASUREMENT OF PUBLIC ACCESS COMPUTING IN PUBLIC LIBRARIES: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF STUDIES IN LATVIA AND LITHUANIA

Kristine Paberza, [kristine.paberza@kis.gov.lv](mailto:kristine.paberza@kis.gov.lv)

Department of Information and Library Studies, Faculty of Social  
Sciences, University of Latvia Public

Library Development Project “Third Father’s Son”, State Agency  
“Culture Information Systems”

Ugne Rutkauskiene, [ugne.rutkauskiene@bibliotekospazangai.lt](mailto:ugne.rutkauskiene@bibliotekospazangai.lt)

Institute of Library and Information Science, Faculty of  
Communication, Vilnius University,

Project „Libraries for Innovation”, National Library of Lithuania

# RESEARCH CONTEXT



- **LITUANIA:**
  - 3,3 million inhabitants
  - 1400 public libraries
  - 70% are connected
- **LATVIA:**
  - 2,7 million inhabitants
  - 864 public libraries
  - 98% are connected

Both countries are implementing nation-wide projects to provide people with free access to Internet at public libraries

# MAIN RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- ◉ What are the tangible, demonstrable effects arising from the use of PAC in public libraries?
  - Key areas of internet use
  - Perceived social and economic impacts
- ◉ Do such effects serve the goals of the funding institution?
  - National and international priorities

# APPROACH TO IMPACT ASSESSMENT

## INPUTS

- Library & local municipality: buildings, staff, money
- Project: infrastructure, hardware, training, advocacy, outreach



## ACTIVITIES

## OUTCOMES

Outcomes  
Results  
Impact

# RESEARCH SCOPE

Targets	Method	Sample
Librarians	F2F interview in libraries	LT - 609 LV - 1324
Library managers	Web survey	LT - 65 (full sample) LV - 699
Library PAC users	F2F interview in libraries	LT - 1246 LV - 801
Residents	F2F interview at homes	LT - 1055 LV - 1061
Children	LT - survey at homes LV - survey at schools	LT - 592 LV - 516
Library survey	LT - post survey LV - Web survey	LT - 1217 LV - 699
Hard-to-reach groups	Focus groups, interviews	LT - 14 FG, 12 interviews
Stakeholders	Interviews	LT - 8 LV - 155

# FINDINGS: ACCESS TO INTERNET

- Usage of Internet: LT-62%, LV-57%
- Internet at homes: LT-45%, LV-41%
- Usage of Internet at public libraries: LT-34%, LV-28%
- Users with no alternative access: LT-28%, LV-39%
- Main motives for choosing library PAC:
  - No cost: LT-66%, LV-63%
  - Possibility to use other library services: LT-48%, LV-42%
  - A convenient place: LT-28%, LV-33%

# FINDINGS: ROLE OF LIBRARIANS

- LT-60%, LV-66% of librarians assess their knowledge and skills as sufficient for their work
- 1/3 of PAC users often ask for librarians help
- LT-43%, LV-40% of librarians consult users on IT skills on daily bases
- LT-27%, LV-6% of librarians conduct user IT training

# FINDINGS: INTERNET USE (1)

- ◎ **Employment and income:**
  - LT - search for information on goods and services, 66%
  - LV - job or employee hunting, 56%
- ◎ **Education:**
  - Both countries - searching for offers of possibilities for non-formal learning and education: LT-36%, LV-56%
- ◎ **Communication:**
  - Both countries - sending & receiving e-mails: LT-77%, LV-66%



# FINDINGS: INTERNET USE (2)

## ◉ Culture & leisure:

- Both countries - reading news on Internet: LT-80%, LV-66%

## ◉ Health:

- Both countries - search for information related to health: LT-58%, LV-55%

## ◉ E-governance:

- LT - submit income declarations, 36%
- LV - search for information and/or contact municipal or state institutions, 56%

## ◉ Agriculture:

- LV: search for info related to agriculture, 44%

# FINDINGS: SOCIAL IMPACTS

## ○ Education:

- LV - improved access to information (news, on hobbies etc.), 64%
- Both countries - helped studying: LT-47, LV-44%

## ○ Communication:

- Both countries - improved social relations: LT-67%, LV-58%

## ○ Leisure & culture:

- LT - enriched leisure 85%
- LV - improved access to information about community activities, 51%

## ○ Health:

- Both countries - improved access to health information: LT-47%, LV-42%

# FINDINGS: ECONOMIC IMPACTS

## ⦿ Economic development:

- Both countries - saved money: LT-60%, LV-38%
- Both countries - earned money: LT-6%, LV-11%

## ⦿ Occupation:

- Both countries - jobs found: LT-16%, LV-10%
- Both countries - increased income: LT-19%, LV-4%

## ⦿ E-commerce:

- LV - improved access to e-services, 57%
- Both countries - helped to purchase goods/services: LT-26%, LV-8%

# CONCLUSIONS

- ◉ Internet is domesticated by 2/3 of society
- ◉ For PAC users library is a principal place to access ICTs
- ◉ For users with no or low IT skills staff support is an important factor to choose library as venue
- ◉ Library PAC is mainly used to deal with issues around education & learning, to communicate & socialize, to improve leisure as well as work related performance
- ◉ Greatest impacts are seen in the fields of leisure and culture, education, communication, e-governance and employment

# THANK YOU!

Full reports

[www.bibliotekospazangai.lt/ImpactAssessment](http://www.bibliotekospazangai.lt/ImpactAssessment) (Lithuania, in English)

[www.kis.gov.lv/lv/c14/n630](http://www.kis.gov.lv/lv/c14/n630) (Latvia, in Latvian)